

Trauma: Who cares?

NCEPOD 2007



Barrie D White
Society of British Neurological Surgeons

Headlines

Less than half of patients with trauma have a good standard of care

Poor airway and ventilation before hospital

Failure to recognise injuries

Delay to investigate and treat

Lack of co-ordination and senior input

Headlines

Head Injury is major cause of long-term disability

Head Injury present in 62% of severely injured

Half of these in coma (GCS<9)

50% GCS3 dead by 72hrs

Majority (54%) taken to non-specialist unit first

Most common need for transfer is HI

Two-fold mortality if stay in DGH

Mean time for transfer 6hrs

**Direct admission seems sensible but
pre-hospital ABC poor and priority**

Saddening but not surprising!

Recognise the difficulties and deficiencies

Timely, in tune with, and builds on NICE guidance

Trauma unpredictable, uncommon, high demand,

High resource, low reward (clinical and tariff)

Trauma is only 5-10% neurosurgical workload

**Head injury
Come a long way in a life-time**

1950s Head injury care rudimentary

**1960s Appreciation importance of surgery for clots
Angiography at 6+hrs, delayed operations**

**1970s Real beginnings of head injury management
GCS, Skull Xray (few CTs), ICP, rule based mgt**

**1980s National head injury recommendations
some improvements, recognition residual problems
cross cutting collaboration, step investments required
out of hospital care, regional reorganisation
resources, facilities to match**

Trauma: Who cares?

NICE Says

ABC/GCS

GCS<9. Standby call

GCS<13. CT within 1hr

GCS<13. discuss with NS

GCS<9. Transfer to NS

Operation within 4hrs

Consultant involvement

NCEPOD Shows

A 85%, B 90%, C 91%, GCS 97%

60%, only 27% intubated pre-hosp

30% , 75% in 2hrs, 10% not at all

82%, 10% in 1hr, 60% in 4hrs

Not analysed but occurred, and not

**66% on site, 14% off site, 84% ok
but 10/13 delayed ops were for ICH**

19% EDH, SDH, Contusions (all ok)

Trauma: Who cares?

NICE Asks

NS unit vs DGH

**Admit direct or transfer
Outcomes for “non NS”**

Rx ICH

**Consensus on significant
Watch, monitor, or do**

Validate child specific rules

Predict longterm sequelae

NCEPOD Shows

**Mean transfer time 6hrs
Operations timely in 83%**

**Prediction of decline
Transfer 9-13/contusions**

Few children in study

Not specifically addressed

Some UK Official Reports

- Harrogate Seminar 1983
- Royal College of Surgeons 1986, 1999, 2000
- British Association of A&E Medicine 2000
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network 2000
- House of Commons 3rd Health Committee Report 2001
- Department of Health 2001, 2004
- British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine 2002
- Royal College of Physicians 2002, 2003
- NICE 2003, 2007
- National Audit Office 2004
- NSF for Longterm Neurological Conditions 2005
- NCEPOD 2007

Trauma: Who cares?

**SBNS has been trying for ~25 years to improve overall
neurosurgical standards**

1984, 1993, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2005

Largely regarded as self-serving wish lists

Best shot so far is standards document 2002

scoring system for national comparison

gaps for business case construction

Barrie D White

Trauma: Who cares?



Barrie D White

Trauma: Who cares?



**National
Neurosurgery
Database**

Minimum dataset for outcomes audit

NHS no: _____ Age: ____ Sex: ____ Neurosurgical unit: _____ Consultant: _____

Date referred: _____ Date admitted: _____ Date ready for discharge: _____ Date discharged: _____

Admission assessment

ASA grade	Normal health	Current condition	Type of Admission	Likely outcome
<input type="checkbox"/> I	<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor	<input type="checkbox"/> Emergency	<input type="checkbox"/> Cured
<input type="checkbox"/> II	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Mild	<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent	<input type="checkbox"/> Better
<input type="checkbox"/> III	<input type="checkbox"/> Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Moderate	<input type="checkbox"/> Scheduled	<input type="checkbox"/> Same
<input type="checkbox"/> IV	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Severe	<input type="checkbox"/> Routine	<input type="checkbox"/> Worse
<input type="checkbox"/> V	<input type="checkbox"/> Parlous	<input type="checkbox"/> Overwhelming	<input type="checkbox"/> Day case	<input type="checkbox"/> Dead

Episode coding

Main neurosurgical diagnosis (ICD-10): _____

Neurosurgical procedures

NCEPOD Urgency

Senior Surgeon Present

1. OPCS code _____ 1 2 3 4 5 Cons SpR SHO

2. OPCS code _____ 1 2 3 4 5 Cons SpR SHO

3. OPCS code _____ 1 2 3 4 5 Cons SpR SHO

>3 neurosurgical procedures? Yes No Non-neurosurgical procedures? Yes No

Other events

Intraoperative misadventures

Postoperative complications

Non-clinical difficulties

1. Code _____ 1. Code _____ 1. Code _____

2. Code _____ 2. Code _____ 2. Code _____

3. Code _____ 3. Code _____ 3. Code _____

Discharge assessment

Discharge destination

Absolute outcome (GOS)

Actual outcome

Home Good recovery Cured

Referring hospital Moderate disability Better

Rehabilitation unit Severe disability Same

Long term care facility Vegetative Worse

Mortuary Dead Dead

**Assessment by 5 point descriptive scales
Commended by CHI for use in wider NHS**

**Patient, Unit, Consultant ID
Access times**

Severity scores and anticipated outcome

**Diagnostic and procedure codes
Urgency of Rx and seniority of staff**

Mishaps, complications

Place of discharge and actual outcome

Barrie D White

Trauma: Who cares?

Access

**Ambulance, A&E, Scan, Neurosurgery, Transfer, Theatre,
Critical care, Repatriation, Rehabilitation, Home**

Outcomes

**Place of discharge, LOS, GOS, Actual outcome, healthgain
Mishaps, complications, re-ops, returns**

Data, Interpretation, Acceptance, Organisation, Resources

Trauma: Who cares?

Overall

40% good care, 45% could be better, 5% unsatisfactory

What cost to achieve 100% good care for ~1 patient per week?

Dr at roadside, 24hr cons delivered trauma care, trauma centres?

For head injuries, to take all appropriate cases might displace 25%

Great improvements since 1980s, 25% to 5% mortality from clots.

Final 5% hardest to do, costs highest

Conclusions

- **“Trauma: who cares” is an invaluable account of current acute care of trauma inc. head injured patients in England, Wales, NI.**
- **It has immense potential to improve the care of trauma.**
- **It should stimulate better strategic planning and development of services to improve the process, and care, and outcomes.**
- **Neurosurgery in particular welcomes this independent confirmation of the concerns voiced by the SBNS during the last 25 years, and recognition of the scale of re-organisation and resources required for head injury in particular but NS in general.**

Trauma: Who cares?
