EXTREMES

of age

The 1999 Report of the National Confidential Enquiry into Perioperative Deaths

Data collection period 1 April 1997 to 31 March 1998

Compiled by:

K G Callum MS FRCS

A J G Gray MB BChir FRCA

R W Hoile MS FRCS

G S Ingram MBBS FRCA

I C Martin LLM FRCS FDSRCS

K M Sherry MBBS FRCA

F Whimster MHM

Published 17 November 1999 by the National Confidential Enquiry into Perioperative Deaths

35-43 Lincoln's Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PN Tel: (020) 7831 6430 Fax: (020) 7430 2958

Email: info@ncepod.org.uk Website: www.ncepod.org.uk

Requests for further information should be addressed to the Chief Executive

ISBN 0 9522069 6 X

A company limited by guarantee Company number 3019382 Registered charity number 1075588

This report is printed on paper produced from wood pulp originating from managed sustainable plantations and is chlorine-free, acid-free, recyclable and biodegradable

Additional information

This report is available for downloading from the NCEPOD website at www.ncepod.org.uk

Copies can also be purchased from the NCEPOD office.

The analysis of data from anaesthetic and surgical questionnaires is not included in full in this report. A supplement containing additional data, and copies of the questionnaires, is available free of charge from the NCEPOD office.

Throughout this Report AQ and SQ are used to denote the anaesthetic or surgical question from which the data was obtained.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is the ninth report published by the National Confidential Enquiry into Perioperative Deaths and, as in previous years, could not have been achieved without the support and cooperation of a wide range of individuals and organisations. Our particular thanks go to the following:

- The Local Reporters, whose names are listed in Appendix E, and those who assist them in providing initial data on perioperative deaths.
- All those surgeons and anaesthetists, whose names are listed in Appendices F and G, who contributed to the Enquiry by completing questionnaires.
- The Advisors whose names are listed overleaf.
- Those bodies, whose names are listed in Appendix C, who provide the funding to cover the cost of the Enquiry.

The Steering Group, Clinical Coordinators and Chief Executive would also like to record their appreciation of the hard work and tolerance of the NCEPOD administrative staff: Peter Allison, Fatima Chowdhury, Paul Coote, Sheree Cornwall, Jennifer Drummond and Dolores Jarman.

DEDICATION



This Report is dedicated to the memory of Brendan Devlin, one of the original pioneers responsible for setting up the Enquiry, who sadly died in December 1998.

CLINICAL CONTRIBUTORS

NCEPOD	Coordinators	J M Graham	Consultant ENT Surgeon,
K G Callum	Clinical Coordinator, NCEPOD and Consultant General & Vascular		Royal National Throat, Nose and Ear Hospital
Sı	ırgeon, Derbyshire Royal Infirmary	P L May	Consultant Neurosurgeon, Royal Liverpool Children's Hospital
A J G Gray	Clinical Coordinator, NCEPOD and Consultant Anaesthetist, Norfolk and Norwich Hospital	C A Reid	Consultant Plastic Surgeon, Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals
R W Hoile	Principal Clinical Coordinator, NCEPOD and Consultant General urgeon, Medway Maritime Hospital	M D Stringer	Consultant Paediatric Surgeon, The Leeds Teaching Hospitals
G S Ingram	Principal Clinical Coordinator, NCEPOD and Consultant	D Thompson	Consultant Neurosurgeon, Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children
	Anaesthetist, University College London Hospitals	V M Wright	Consultant Paediatric Surgeon, Bart's and The London Hospitals
I C Martin	Clinical Coordinator, NCEPOD and Consultant Oral and	Paediatrics	
	Maxillofacial Surgeon, Sunderland Royal Hospital	M Little	Consultant Paediatrician, Medway Maritime Hospital
K M Sherry	Clinical Coordinator, NCEPOD and Consultant Anaesthetist,	Pathology	
	Northern General Hospital NHS Trust, Sheffield	M Ashworth	Bristol Royal Hospital for Sick Children
SPECIALTY	ADVISORS	K McKenzie	Royal Hospital for Sick
Children			Children, Edinburgh
An a esthesia		The Elderl	у
P Crean	Royal Belfast Hospital for Sick Children	Anaesthesia	
D J Higgins	Southend Hospital	C Dodds	South Tees Acute Hospitals
S E F Jones	Birmingham Children's Hospital	A E Edwards	Wrexham Maelor Hospital
J B Luntley	Barnsley District General Hospital	M W Platt	St Mary's Hospital, London
A Mackersie	Great Ormond Street Hospital	K N Robinson	Northampton General Hospital
A Wackersie	for Children	Cardiothoracic	surgery
V Sidhu	St Mary's Hospital, London	K M Taylor	Hammersmith Hospital
K Wilkinson	Norfolk and Norwich Hospital	Care of the eld	erly
Surgery		D Da Costa	Northern General Hospital NHS Trust, Sheffield
M K D Benson	Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre	F G Vaz	South Warwickshire General Hospitals

General surgery Pathology

Leicester General Hospital

A R Berry Northampton General Hospital C M Corbishley St George's Hospital, London

P Farrands Royal Sussex County Hospital M H Griffiths University College London

Hospitals

K Scott The Royal Wolverhampton Hospitals

C A Makin Wirral Hospital

Gynaecology

M Kelly

J H Shepherd Bart's and The London Hospitals

Neurosurgery

J Bartlett King's College Hospital (retired)

Ophthalmology

M Beck University Hospital of Wales

Oral and Maxillofacial surgery

E D Vaughan Aintree University Hospitals

Orthopaedic surgery

K Daly Kingston Hospital

A Floyd Milton Keynes General

P Gill Sunderland Royal Hospital

D Griffiths North Staffordshire Hospital

M J Parker Peterborough District Hospital

R Vickers St George's Hospital, London

Otorhinolaryngology

M Wickstead Norfolk & Norwich Hospital

Plastic surgery

N R McLean Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals

Urology

M A Stott Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital

Vascular surgery

S Ashley Plymouth Hospitals

S Parvin Royal Bournemouth &

Christchurch Hospitals

P Taylor Guy's & St Thomas' Hospital

CONTENTS

Foreword	xvii	Procedures	28
		Preoperative status	31
Recommendations	xix	ASA grade	32
		Time of death	34
1 General Data		TT 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.4
December detices	1	Hospitals, facilities and staffing	
Recommendations	1	Type of hospital	
To do 1 of the	9	Facilities	
Introduction		Staffing	
Data collection General data analysis		Shared care	
		The surgeon	
Sample groups for detailed review	5 5	Surgical consultant involvement The anaesthetist	
The Elderly		Assistance for the anaesthetist	
Distribution and return	0	Anaesthetic monitoring	
rates for the total sample group	10	Anaestnetic monitoring	44
rates for the total sample group	10	Admission and transfer	13
		Admission category and pathway	
		Transfer Transfer	
2 Children		Site and appropriateness of admission	
Z CHILDREN		Cases that went into A&E holding area	
Recommendations	12	Cases that went into A&E holding area	
1 tecomme naations	13	Delay in referral or admission	
Introduction	15	Delay in referration admission	10
Introduction	13	Audit	47
General issues	16	Anaesthetic responses	
General issues	10	Surgical responses	
Who anaesthetises and		ourgical responses	1
who operates on children?	16		
Who anaesthetises children?		Specific issues	48
Who operates on children?		Speed to too too	
Consultant surgeons by specialty		Neurosurgery	48
Accident & Emergency		Abdominal trauma	
Orthopaedic surgery		Necrotising enterocolitis	
General surgery		Postoperative pain relief	
Oral/Maxillofacial surgery		Good handling of deaths	
Dental surgery		ood nanding of deaths	02
Otorhinolaryngology	22		
Gynaecology		Pathology	53
Neurosurgery		8)	
Ophthalmology		General	53
Paediatric surgery		The postmortem examination report	
Urology		Communication of the postmortem result	
Plastic surgery		to the surgical team	54
Thoracic surgery		Comment	
Vascular surgery			
Transplant surgery			
Spinal surgery			
Hand surgery		3 The Elderly	
Occasional practice in surgery			
on children under six months	26	Recommendations	55
Patient profile	97	Introduction	۲7
Patient profile		Introduction	37
Age			
Birthweight and perinatal mortality			
Sex	40		

General issues	58	General surgery	86
		Consultant involvement	
Patient profile	58	ASA status	87
Age		Delays in referral or admission	87
Procedures		Preoperative preparation	
Preoperative status		Femoral hernia	88
r		Femoral hernia in the elderly today	
Time of death	60	Incidental hernia	
Time of death		Diagnosis of intestinal obstruction	03
Hospitals, facilities and staffing	60	in the elderly	80
Type of hospital		Laparotomy for disseminated malignancy	
		Bowel resection	
Facilities			
Recovery facilities		Denominator figures	
Intensive care and high dependency units	61	Thromboembolic prophylaxis	
General surgical emergency and		Audit	
orthopaedic trauma lists		Pathways of care	91
Staffing	62		
		Urology	92
Delays before operation	62		
		Neurosurgery	93
Audit	64		
		Vascular surgery	93
Special clinical problems	65	Leaking abdominal aortic aneurysm	
Operative hypotension		Embolectomy	
Postoperative fluid management		Success of embolectomy and	
Audit		specialty of surgeon	94
Patients		Amputation	
Monitoring		Surgical consultant involvement	
Documentation		Anaesthetic consultant involvement	
Management and training		Decision-making	
Patient location		Thromboembolic prophylaxis	95
Fluid chart documentation	71	High dependency units	
0,			
Specific issues	72	Other specialties	
		Gynaecology	
Anaesthesia	72	Ophthalmology	
Non-training anaesthetic appointments	72	Oral and maxillofacial surgery	96
The role of the staff grade anaesthetist	72	Otorhinolaryngology	96
Locum anaesthetists	73	Plastic surgery	97
Matching the seniority of the		Cardiothoracic surgery	97
anaesthetist to the patient	74	<i>\(\tau_{\text{o}} \)</i>	
Pain relief in the elderly			
Pain service		Pathology	98
Pain charts documentation		8/	
Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs		General	98
Postoperative respiratory complications			
rostoperative respiratory complications		The postmortem examination report	98
Orthopaedic surgery	80	Clinical history	
Perioperative care		Description of external appearances	
Training		Gross description of internal organs	
Seniority		Description of the operation site	
Delay and organisation of trauma lists		Postmortem histology	
Urinary catheterisation	83	Summary of lesions	99
Management of impacted intracapsular		Clinicopathological correlation and	
fractures		OPCS cause of death	
Deaths due to cement reaction		Overall score for postmortem examinations	99
Thromboembolic prophylaxis	84		
Audit		Attendance of the surgical team at	
		the postmortem	.100

Communication of the postmortem	
result to the surgical team	100
Cause of death assigned by pathologist	101
Gastrointestinal complications	
Comment	
REFERENCES	103
Appendices	107
A Glossary	107
B Abbreviations	109
C NCEPOD corporate structure	111
D Data collection and review methods	113
E Local Reporters	115
F Participants (anaesthetists)	125
G Participants (surgeons and gynaecologists)	

TABLES, FIGURES AND QUESTIONS

1 General Data			Distribution and return rates for the total sample group			
General (data analysis	Table 1	1.10:	Regional distribution, return and analysis rates10 (total sample)		
Figure 1.1: Table 1.1:	Total deaths reported		1.11:	Reasons given for non-return of questionnaires11 (total sample)		
Table 1.2:	Deaths reported to NCEPOD by region		1.12:	Reasons given for non-return of surgical		
Figure 1.2:	Calendar days from operation to death			questionnaires by region		
Figure 1.3:	Age/sex distribution of reported deaths		l 13·	Reasons given for non-return of anaesthetic		
Table 1.3:	Calendar days between death and receipt of report by NCEPOD			questionnaires by region		
Sample g	roups for detailed review	2	Сн	ILDREN		
Figure 1.4:	Selection of sample groups5			_		
Figure 1.5:	Distribution, return and analysis of questionnaires6 (less than 16 years)	Ger	neral	Issues		
Table 1.4:	Reasons for exclusion of surgical questionnaires from analysis		anaes	thetises and who operates on children?		
	(less than 16 years)	Table 2) 1.	Departmental data		
Table 1.5:	Reasons for exclusion of anaesthetic	Table 2		Consultant anaesthetists by region: "Do you		
idble 1.5.	questionnaires from analysis			ever anaesthetise children aged 15 or under?"17		
	(less than 16 years)	Table 2) 2.	Number of consultants anaesthetising		
Figure 1.6:	Reasons for non-return of surgical questionnaires		2.0.	children in different age groups		
rigule 1.0.	(less than 16 years)	Figure	21.	Percentage of consultant anaesthetists		
Figure 1.7:	Reasons for non-return of anaesthetic	riguie	۷.۱.	(based on returned questionnaires)		
rigule 1.7.	questionnaires			anaesthetising infants of less than six months		
	(less than 16 years)			grouped by number of cases anaesthetised18		
Table 1.6:	Distribution, return and analysis of	Table 2) 1.	Consultant surgeons by region: "Do you,		
idble 1.0.	questionnaires by region		<u> </u>	or your junior staff, ever operate on children		
	(less than 16 years)			aged 15 or under?"		
Figure 1.8:	Distribution, return and analysis of	Quartic	on 2.1:	A&E consultants (and teams) who operate		
rigule 1.0.	questionnaires8		JII Z.I.	on children		
	(90 years and over)	Table 2) 5.	Number of A&E consultants (and teams)		
Table 1.7:	Reasons for exclusion of surgical	IUDIE 2	2.5.	operating on children in different age groups19		
idble 1.7.	questionnaires from analysis	Figure	2 2.	Percentage of A&E consultants and teams		
	(90 years and over)	riguie	۷.۷.	(based on returned questionnaires) operating		
Table 1.8:	Reasons for exclusion of anaesthetic			on infants of less than six months grouped		
idble i.o:				by number of cases treated		
	questionnaires from analysis		0.0	,		
r. 10	(90 years and over)	Questio	on 2.2:	Consultant orthopaedic surgeons (and teams)		
Figure 1.9:	Reasons for non-return of surgical	T (who operate on children		
	questionnaires	Table 2	2.0:	Number of consultant orthopaedic surgeons		
r· 1.10	(90 years and over)			(and teams) operating on children in different		
Figure 1.10:		E	o o.	age groups 20		
	questionnaires 9	Figure	2.3:	Percentage of consultant orthopaedic		
T.I.I. 1 0	(90 years and over)			surgeons and teams (based on returned		
Table 1.9:	Distribution, return and analysis of			questionnaires) operating on infants of less		
	questionnaires by region			than six months grouped by number of		
	(90 years and over)		0.0	cases treated 20		
		Questio	on 2.3:	Consultant general surgeons (and teams) who operate on children		



Table 2.7:	Number of consultant general surgeons		Question 2.11:	Consultant urologists (and teams) who	
	(and teams) operating on children in different age groups	.20	Table 2.15:	operate on children Number of consultant urologists (and teams)	24
Figure 2.4:	Percentage of consultant general surgeons			_	24
	and teams (based on returned questionnaires)		Figure 2.10:	Percentage of consultant urologists and teams	
	operating on infants of less than six months		-	(based on returned questionnaires) operating	
	grouped by number of cases treated	.21		on infants of less than six months grouped by	
Question 2.4:	Consultant oral/maxillofacial surgeons			number of cases treated	24
	(and teams) who operate on children	.21	Question 2.12:	Consultant plastic surgeons (and teams)	
Table 2.8:	Number of consultant oral/maxillofacial			who operate on children	24
	surgeons (and teams) operating on children in		Table 2.16:	Number of consultant plastic surgeons	
	different age groups	.21		(and teams) operating on children in different	
Figure 2.5:	Percentage of consultant oral/maxillofacial			age groups	25
	surgeons and teams (based on returned		Question 2.13:	Consultant thoracic surgeons (and teams)	
	questionnaires) operating on infants of less than			who operate on children	25
	six months grouped by number of cases treated	.21	Table 2.17:	Number of consultant thoracic surgeons	
Question 2.5:	Consultant dental surgeons (and teams)			(and teams) operating on children in different	
	who operate on children	.21		age groups	25
Table 2.9:	Number of consultant dental surgeons (and teams)		Question 2.14:	Consultant vascular surgeons (and teams)	
	operating on children in different age groups	.21		who operate on children	25
Question 2.6:	Consultant otorhinolaryngologists (and teams)		Table 2.18:	Number of consultant vascular surgeons	
	who operate on children	.22		(and teams) operating on children in different	
Table 2.10:	Number of consultant otorhinolaryngologists			age groups	25
	(and teams) operating on children in different		Question 2.15:	Consultant transplant surgeons (and teams)	
	age groups	.22		who operate on children	25
Figure 2.6:	Percentage of consultant otorhinolaryngologists		Table 2.19:	Number of consultant transplant surgeons	
	and teams (based on returned questionnaires)			(and teams) operating on children in different	
	operating on infants of less than six months			age groups	25
	grouped by number of cases treated	.22	Question 2.16:	Consultant spinal surgeons (and teams)	
Question 2.7:	Consultant gynaecologists (and teams)			who operate on children	25
	who operate on children	.22	Table 2.20:	Number of consultant spinal surgeons	
Table 2.11:	Number of consultant gynaecologists (and teams)			(and teams) operating on children in	
F: 0.7	operating on children in different age groups	.22	0 0.17	different age groups	26
Figure 2.7:	Percentage of consultant gynaecologists		Question 2.17:	Consultant hand surgeons (and teams)	0.7
	and teams (based on returned questionnaires)		T.I.I. 0.01	who operate on children	26
	operating on infants of less than six months	00	Table 2.21:	Number of consultant hand surgeons (and teams)	0/
0 " 00	grouped by number of cases treated	.22	T.I.I. 0.00	operating on children in different age groups	20
Question 2.8:	Consultant neurosurgeons (and teams)	0.0	Table 2.22:	Number of surgeons by specialty who	
T.I.I. O.1O	who operate on children	23		operate on small numbers (one to nine) of	07
Table 2.12:	Number of consultant neurosurgeons (and teams)	0.0		children per annum aged under six months	20
E: 0 0.	operating on children in different age groups	23			
Figure 2.8:	Percentage of consultant neurosurgeons and teams (based on returned questionnaires)		Patient pro	fil_{θ}	
	operating on infants of less than six months		1 auciu proj	<i>jue</i>	
	grouped by number of cases treated	23	Table 2.23:	Age of patient at time of final operation	27
Question 2.9:	Consultant ophthalmic surgeons (and teams)	.20	Figure 2.11:	Gestational age at birth (when under six	∠/
Quesilon 2.7.	who operate on children	23	rigule 2.11.	months old at the time of surgery) by weight	
Table 2.13:	Number of consultant ophthalmic surgeons	.20		at operation	27
lable 2.13.	(and teams) operating on children in different		Question 2.18:	Sex of child	
	age groups	.23	Figure 2.12:	Age at time of surgery for infants under	20
Figure 2.9:	Percentage of consultant ophthalmic surgeons		11gule 2.12.	six months by sex	28
119010 2.7.	and teams (based on returned questionnaires)		Table 2.24:	Specialty of consultant surgeons and	20
	operating on infants of less than six months		10DIG Z.Z4.	operations performed	28
	grouped by number of cases treated	.23	Table 2.25:	Coexisting medical disorders	
Question 2.10.	Consultant paediatric surgeons (and teams)	.20		Were any respiratory therapies in use	
	who operate on children	.24		before the operation?	31
Table 2.14:	Number of consultant paediatric surgeons	- "	Question 2 20.	Were other intensive treatments in progress?	
	(and teams) operating on children in different			Was it necessary to delay the anaesthetic	
	age groups	.24		to improve the child's state before the	
	5			operation?	31
				1	

Table 2.26:	ASA status prior to the final operation	32	Question 2.33:	If the most senior anaesthetist at the start
Table 2.27:	Patients graded ASA 1 by surgeons and			of the anaesthetic was not a consultant,
	where no anaesthetic questionnaire was			where was consultant help available?41
	returned	33	Question 2.34:	Was advice sought, at any time, from
Table 2.28:	Patients graded ASA 1 by surgeons and			another anaesthetist who was not present
	where an anaesthetic questionnaire was			during the anaesthetic?
	returned		Question 2.35:	Was there a trained anaesthetist's assistant
Table 2.29:	Classification of final operation by ASA status	33		(i.e. ODP, anaesthetic nurse) present
				for this case?
TT: C 1	.7		Question 2.36:	Were monitoring devices used during the
Time of dec	ath			management of this anaesthetic?
			Table 2.38:	Monitoring devices used during the operation42
Figure 2.13:	Calendar days from operation to death	34	Question 2.3/:	Did anything hinder full monitoring?42
Hospitals,	facilities and staffing		Admission	and transfer
Table 2.30:	Type of hospital in which the final operation		Table 2.39:	Admission category
100.0	took place	34	Table 2.40:	Pathway for admission 43
Figure 2.14:	Comparison of the type of hospitals in which			Was the child transferred as an inpatient from
9	surgery took place in 1997/98 and 1989	35		another hospital? 43
Table 2.31:	Availability of special care areas and		Question 2.39:	Did the child's condition deteriorate
	out-of-hours imaging facilities	36		during transfer? 43
Question 2.22:	Does the hospital have a specific separate		Question 2.40:	Was the child accompanied by a
	consultant anaesthetic paediatric on-call rota?	37		medical/nursing team during transfer?
Question 2.23:	Where is this paediatric surgical service		Question 2.41:	What was the condition of the child on
	provided?	37		admission to the receiving hospital?
Question 2.24:	Was experienced medical paediatric		Figure 2.15:	Accompanying team for interhospital transfer45
	cover available for this ward/area?	37	Table 2.41:	Cases where condition was deemed
Question 2.25:	Was the care of the child undertaken on a			unsatisfactory on arrival at receiving hospital45
	formal shared basis with paediatric physicians?	38	Table 2.42:	Type of area to which the child was first
Table 2.32:	Specialty of consultant surgeon in charge			admitted in the hospital in which the final
	at the time of the final operation	38		operation took place
Question 2.26:	What type of surgery does this consultant		Question 2.42:	Was there any delay in either the referral
	provide for children?	38		or the admission of this child?
Question 2.27:	Does this consultant manage neonates?	38		
Question 2.28:	Has this consultant had specialist training			
	in surgery on children?	38	Audit	
Question 2.29:	What is this consultant's regular sessional			
	commitment for surgery in children?	39	Question 2.43:	Do you have morbidity/mortality review
Question 2.30:	What is the surgical specialty of consultants			meetings in your anaesthetic department?47
	with no regular sessional commitment?	39	Question 2.44:	Has this death been considered, or will it
Question 2.31:	In the hospital in which the final surgery			be considered, at a local surgical
	took place, is there an identified consultant			audit/quality control meeting?
	surgeon who leads the provision of surgical		Table 2.43:	Specialty of surgeon where cases not
	services for children?			considered at a local audit/quality
Table 2.33:	Overall surgical consultant involvement	39		control meeting
Table 2.34:	Grade of the surgeon who signed the			
	consent form	40		
Table 2.35:	Grade of most senior anaesthetist present		C C	т
	at the start of the anaesthetic	40	Specific	Issues
Table 2.36:	Grade of most senior anaesthetist present		N 7	
	at the start of the anaesthetic, by classification	40	Neurosurge	ету
T.I.I. 0.07	of operation	40	T.I.I. O. 4.4	
Table 2.37:	Grade of most senior anaesthetist present	40	Table 2.44:	Seniority of surgeon in neurosurgical
0.00	at the start of the anaesthetic, by ASA status	40		operations 48
Question 2.32:	If the most senior anaesthetist present at			
	the start of the anaesthetic was not a			
	consultant, when was a consultant	<i>A</i> 1		
	anaesthetist informed about this case?	41		

Postoperative pain relief			Audit		
	Is there an acute pain team available for children?	51		Do you have morbidity/mortality review meetings in your anaesthetic department?	64
Question 2.46:	Do nursing staff receive training in acute pain techniques?	51	Question 3.2:	Has this death been considered (or will it be considered) at a local audit meeting?	64
Question 2.47:	Were drugs given in the first 48 hours after operation for pain?		Question 3.3:	Did you have any problems in obtaining the patient's notes (i.e. more than one week)?	
Question 2.48:	Did complications occur as a result		Question 3.4:	Were all the notes available?	
	of these analgesic methods?	51	Question 3.5:	Do you have anaesthetic departmental guidelines relating to the care of the elderly?	65
3 TH	E ELDERLY		Specific	Icenae	
~ 1			•		
General	Issues		Anaesthesia	a	
Patient pro	file		Table 3.14:	Non-consultant career grade anaesthetists Grade of the most senior anaesthetist	72
TIL O 1	A frequency (feel or	<i></i> 0	Table 3.15:		70
Table 3.1:	Age of patient at time of final operation		T.I.I. 0.17	by ASA status of the patient	
Table 3.2:	Most frequently performed operative procedures	38	Table 3.16:	Highest qualification of staff grade anaesthetists.	/ 3
Table 3.3:	Specialty of consultant surgeon in	<i></i> 0	Table 3.17:	Years in anaesthesia for staff grade anaesthetists	70
T.I.I. O. 4	charge at the time of final operation		T.I.I. 0.10	without full Fellowship	
Table 3.4:	ASA status prior to final operation		Table 3.18:	Locum anaesthetists	
Table 3.5:	Coexisting medical disorders		Table 3.19:	Qualifications of all locum anaesthetists	
Table 3.6:	Admission category	59	Table 3.20:	Qualifications of locum consultant anaesthetists.	
Figure 3.1:	Day of admission for emergency and	50	Table 3.21:	Years in anaesthesia of locum anaesthetists	/4
	urgent cases	59	Question 3.6:	Does the hospital in which the operation	7.5
			0 " 07	took place have an acute pain service?	
Time of do	ath		Question 3.7:	Who is on the pain team?	/5
Time of dec			Question 3.8:	How many ward nursing staff are specially trained in epidural and/or PCA analgesia?	
Figure 3.2:	Calendar days from operation to death	60	Question 3.9:	Did this patient have a pain assessment chart?	
			Table 3.22:	Use of pain assessment charts	
	0 11 1 00		Table 3.23:	Information recorded on pain assessment charts .	
Hospitals,	facilities and staffing		Table 3.24:	Use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs	76
Table 3.7:	Type of hospital in which the final		Outle a back	i a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	
T.I.I. 0.0	operation took place		Orthopaedi	a surgery	
Table 3.8:	Availability of facilities	61			
Table 3.9:	Operations in hospitals with recovery		Figure 3.5:	Grade of most senior surgeon and anaesthetist	
	facilities not available on a 24-hour basis	61		in theatre for fractured neck of femur	81
Table 3.10:	Destination of patient on leaving the				
	operating theatre/recovery room	61	0 1		
Table 3.11:	Anaesthetic staffing for general surgical		General su	rgery	
	emergency and orthopaedic trauma lists	62			
Table 3.12:	Grade of most senior surgeon and		Figure 3.6:	Grade of most senior surgeon and anaesthetist	
	anaesthetist present in operating room			in theatre for general surgical cases	
Figure 3.3:	Seniority of surgeon by ASA group		Table 3.25:	ASA status of general surgical cases	87
Figure 3.4:	Seniority of anaesthetist by ASA group	63	Table 3.26:	Reasons for delay in referral or admission	
				of general surgical patients	87
			Table 3.27:	Femoral hernia repair	88
Delays befo	re operation		Table 3.28:	Incidental hernia and other pathology	89
- 0			Table 3.29:	Laparotomy for disseminated malignancy	90
Table 3.13:	Operations delayed by lack of theatre time	64	Table 3.30:	Patients undergoing bowel resection without	
				preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis	90

Urology

Table 3.31: Urological procedures	;	92	2
-----------------------------------	---	----	---

Vascular surgery

Table 3.32:	Vascular procedures	93
Table 3.33:	Repair of leaking abdominal aortic aneurysm	. 94
Table 3.34:	Failure of embolectomy to restore circulation	94
Figure 3.7:	Grade of most senior surgeon present in	
	theatre for vascular procedures	95
Question 3.10:	Does your hospital have an age-related	
	policy for admission to HDU/ICU?	95
Question 3.11:	If the patient's condition warranted an	
	admission to an HDU/ICU, were you at	
	any time unable to transfer the patient into	
	an HDU/ICU within the hospital in which	
	the surgery took place?	95

Other specialties

Table 3.35:	Ophthalmology procedures	96
Table 3.36:	Otorhinolaryngology procedures	96
Table 3.37:	Plastic surgery procedures	97
Table 3.38:	Cardiothoracic surgery procedures	97

Pathology

Table 3.39:	Description of the operation site	98
Table 3.40:	Cases where OPCS cause of death given	99
Table 3.41:	Record of operation in OPCS cause of death	99
Table 3.42:	Quality of postmortem examinations	99
Table 3.43:	History, antemortem clinical diagnosis	
	and cause of death compared with	
	postmortem findings	100
Table 3.44:	Communication of postmortem results to	
	the clinical team	100
Table 3.45:	Time taken for first information to be	
	received by clinical team	101
Table 3.46:	Cause of death assigned by pathologist	101
Table 3.47:	Malignant disease as direct or contributory	
	cause of death	101
Table 3.48:	Gastrointestinal complications in patients	
	undergoing orthopaedic procedures	102



FOREWORD

This report concentrates on the extremes of age. In detail there are obvious differences between the groups, yet many of the lessons to be drawn from this study span the age difference. This is also our first report since the introduction of clinical governance, following publication of 'The new NHS Modern Dependable' and 'A First Class Service' by the government, in which participation in National Confidential Enquiries was seen as a mandatory NCEPOD is delighted that this requirement. government has paid such attention to the issue of quality of care, which we have been promoting since our inception a decade ago. It must be remembered, however, that the data collected, and participation in this report, occurred before the introduction of clinical governance and hence the return rates cannot be viewed against this requirement and show that there is still room for significant improvement. The reasons for failure to return data are multiple, yet why there should be marked regional variation is difficult to understand.

In children's surgery it is quite evident that our previous message regarding the inappropriateness of occasional paediatric practice has been acted upon, with far fewer surgeons and anaesthetists involved in the management of children. However, this message should apply particularly to emergencies, an area where a significant number of respondents failed to see the inappropriateness of occasional practice. The division of responsibilities between surgeons in specialist paediatric units and those in district general hospitals has to be resolved. On occasions this carries with it the issue of patient transfer; the facility for this to be carried out by appropriately trained staff from the receiving centre may be indicated - yet this is a resource which is not always available. The report also highlights the lamentable fact that audit of deaths in children was less than adequate and we would hope that the introduction of clinical governance will address this failing.

The greatest problem seen in the elderly group concerned the management of fluid balance. Although coexisting medical conditions were common, surprisingly few patients were treated by a multidisciplinary team. However, the report does highlight the need for a full diagnosis to be made in these patients before surgical intervention. Issues surrounding emergency admissions throughout the week, and the availability both of emergency theatre time and of sufficiently senior clinicians, are factors behind the delays in treatment of some elderly patients that still need to be addressed. A far greater issue is that of proper preoperative

evaluation and management of the patient's physiological state. Life expectancy is increasing and society understandably demands and expects successful outcomes after surgical intervention for this elderly population. This requires the involvement of more senior staff, on a multidisciplinary basis, than is currently the practice. A rigorous preoperative high dependency approach to stabilising the physiological state of the patient is required if postoperative complications are to be avoided and early mobilisation achieved. The lack of high dependency beds has been recognised but the problem of providing suitably trained nursing staff is an even greater resource issue. Unless this is addressed, it is difficult to envisage significant improvements in the care of this group of patients.

NCEPOD was concerned at the low postmortem rates in both these age groups and particularly that reports of findings failed to meet the standards set by the Royal College of Pathologists. It is highly desirable that clinicians should be present at postmortems, yet this seems not to occur, presumably due to other commitments. This results in an even greater need for postmortem reports to be communicated to the clinicians involved, but this does not occur sufficiently frequently.

Dissemination of our findings has always been a major concern, since all too frequently the clinical teams who should be the principal recipients fail to see them. We hope that this year's wider distribution of an executive summary will alert all interested parties to the availability of this full Report.

John Ll Williams Chairman